

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 CHINA NATIONAL SHIPBUILDING )	CV 14-520 RSWL (DTBx)
12 EQUIPEMENT & MATERIALS )	
13 (EAST CHINA) CO. LTD., )	
14 Plaintiff, )	<b>ORDER</b>
15 )	
16 v. )	
17 )	
18 LALANI STEEL, INC. and )	
19 SHAMSUDDIN LALANI; )	
20 Defendants. )	
21 )	
22 )	
23 )	
24 )	
25 )	
26 )	
27 )	
28 )	

20 The Parties have filed a myriad of documents  
21 stemming from Defendant Shamsuddin Lalani's  
22 ("Shamsuddin") July 3, 2014 Motion to Dismiss Complaint  
23 [9] requesting that this Court dismiss all claims  
24 against Defendant Shamsuddin. Following the filing of  
25 that Motion, Plaintiff China National Shipbuilding  
26 Equipement & Materials (East China) Co. Ltd.  
27 ("Plaintiff") filed an untimely Response apparently  
28 stating that it had reached an agreement with Defendant

1 Shamsuddin and that Plaintiff was willing to "dismiss  
2 Defendant Lanlani [sic] as the [sic] Defendant." Dkt.  
3 # 13. Despite filing this apparent statement of non-  
4 opposition, Plaintiff then filed a "Motion to Cancel  
5 the Hearing Scheduled on August 19, 2014" on August 12,  
6 2014 [16]. Attached to this document is a proposed  
7 consent order captioned as "Notice of Dismissal of All  
8 Claims Against Defendant Shamsuddun [sic] Lalani  
9 Pursuant to Consent of Defendant [sic] China National  
10 Shipbuilding Equipment [sic] & Materials (East China)  
11 Co. Ltd." and signed only by Plaintiff's counsel. Dkt.  
12 # 16-1. Defendant Shamsuddin followed that filing on  
13 August 13, 2014 by re-filing the purported proposed  
14 consent order attached to Plaintiff's August 12, 2014  
15 Motion, but this time with both Parties' counsel's  
16 signatures [17]. Finally, on that same day, Defendant  
17 Shamsuddin filed yet another document, a "Notice of  
18 Withdrawal of Defendant Shamsuddun [sic] Lalani's  
19 Motion to Dismiss Complaint Filed by Plaintiff China  
20 National Shipbuilding Equipment [sic] & Materials (East  
21 China) Co. Ltd." seeking to withdraw his previous  
22 Motion to Dismiss [18].

23 The Parties appear to be trying to stipulate to  
24 dismiss Defendant Shamsuddin from this Action without  
25 prejudice. The Court construes the Parties' filings  
26 (Dkt. ## 16-18) to constitute such a stipulation.  
27 Defendant Shamsuddin is hereby **DISMISSED** from this  
28 Action **without prejudice**. Furthermore, the Court finds

1 that Defendant Shamsuddin's July 3, 2014 Motion to  
2 Dismiss [9] is **MOOT**. As such, the Court **VACATES** the  
3 August 19, 2014 hearing date.

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 DATED: August 13, 2014

RONALD S.W. LEW

HONORABLE RONALD S.W. LEW  
Senior U.S. District Judge